

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,341	10/30/2003	Joel Gilon	03-665	7503
34704	7590 03/03/2005		EXAM	INER
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.		JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
900 CHAPEI SUITE 1201	LSTREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVE	N, CT 06510		3641	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J				_
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
ل		10/697,341	GILON, JOEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Stephen M. Johnson	3641	
Period f	 The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply 	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the provision of the	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□		nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No sived in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	any (PTO-413)	
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai		

Application/Control Number: 10/697,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pascasio et al..

Pascasio et al. disclose a public transportation vehicle and associated method comprising :

a) a public transport vehicle with interior;	see fig. 🛭	l
--	------------	---

- d) fixedly attached to and extending inwardly; 44
- 3. Claims 8-9 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cantu et al..

Cantu et al. disclose a public transportation vehicle and associated method comprising :

a)	a public transport	vehicle with interior;	col 1	lines 9-14
u ,	a pacific transport	. V C111 C1 C VY 1 t11 111 t C1 1 C1 ,	001. 1.	1111000

- d) fixedly attached to and extending inwardly; see figs. 3a, 3b
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3641

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantu et al. in view of Madden Jr. (719).

Cantu et al. apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a part of the panel that is transparent polycarbonate or aramid fibers. Madden Jr. (719) teach a part of the panel that is transparent polycarbonate (col. 5, line 1) or aramid fibers (col. 11, lines 11-17). Applicant is substituting one material type for another in an analogous art setting as explicitly encouraged by the primary reference (see col. 10, lines 15-17). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Madden Jr. (719) to the Cantu et al. disclosure and have a panel with a transparent polycarbonate panel or aramid fiber panel.

6. Claims 8-9 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bird et al..

Bird et al. disclose a public transportation vehicle and associated method comprising:

a) a public transport vehicle with interior; col. 1, lines 5-26

b) blast-resistant protection means; [12, 14] or [68, 74]

c) an array of partitions; [12, 14] or [12, 14]

d) fixedly attached to and extending inwardly; see figs. 12-14, col. 6, lines

8-15

Art Unit: 3641

7. Claims 8 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Elizondo (054).

Elizondo (054) discloses a public transportation vehicle and associate method comprising:

a) an interior; inside 14

b) blast-resistant protection means (partition means); 12 (left and right walls);

col. 3, lines 30-34

Page 4

c) a plurality of interconnect subspaces; contained by 12's

d) at least 6 spaced-apart blast-resistant panels; and 12 (left and right walls)

e) anchoring the panels to an adjacent structure. col. 3, lines 35-62

- Applicant's arguments are addressed as follows. It is argued that Elizondo does not teach blast-resistant panels. In response, note col. 3, lines 30, that state that the module 20 (both side and top portions) is made of aluminum or Kevlar. It is argued that the panels are not fixedly attached to and extending inwardly from the vehicle body. Note that when modules 20 are located inside fuselage 14 prior to deployment, the side portions extend inwardly from the inner surface of fuselage 14. They are fixedly attached indirectly wheels 20 and their interaction with ramps 18 on the fuselage 14. It is further argued that the modules of Elizondo are not stationary but rather movable. This is the case. However, what applicant has claimed is "fixedly attached to". Note that the modules remain fixedly attached to fuselage 14 prior to the release of tail clamps 36.
- 9. Claims 9 and 11/9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elizondo (504) in view of Batt et al. (648 A1).

Art Unit: 3641

Elizondo (504) applies as previously recited. However, undisclosed as apertures to attenuate the blast. Blatt et al. (648 A1) teach apertures to attenuate the blast 80. Selecting a means know in this art to attenuate blasts (see para. [0020]) and putting it to use as it is already commonly known to be used in this art). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Batt et al. to the Elizondo transportation vehicle and have a transportation vehicle with a blast attenuation means.

10. Claims 10 and 11/10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elizondo (504) in view of Batt et al. (648 A1) as applied to claims 8-9, 11/9, and 12-13 above, and further in view of Madden Jr. (719).

Elizondo (504) and Batt et al. (648 A1) apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a part of the panel that is transparent polycarbonate. Madden Jr. (719) teach a part of the panel that is transparent polycarbonate (col. 5, line 1). Applicant is substituting one transparent window portion material for another in an analogous art setting. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Madden Jr. (719) to the Elizondo and Batt et al. disclosures and have a panel with a transparent polycarbonate panel.

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/3/2005, with regard to Elizondo, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. These arguments have been addressed in paragraph 8 above.

Art Unit: 3641

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Shaha bhi

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641 Page 6

SMJ February 28, 2005